

10 January 1983

STAT
MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED]

CIA Member
SECOM Compartmentation Subcommittee

STAT
FROM: [REDACTED]

CIA Member
DCI Security Committee

SUBJECT: NSA Proposed Revisions to DCID 1/7 and DCID 1/20

REFERENCES: A. SECOM -D-390, dated 2 December 1982
B. SECOM-D-390, dated 29 December 1982

1. In response to Reference B, I indicated on 14 November 1982, this Agency's concurrence in the originally proposed revisions of DCIDs 1/7 and 1/20. Reference A reflects that the National Security Agency did not concur with either of the proposed revisions as written. Instead, NSA forwarded to SECOM on 26 November 1982, several suggested revisions. The Chairman, SECOM, requested in Reference A that the Compartmentation Subcommittee meet to review NSA's comments and provide him with recommendations no later than 14 January 1983.

2. I have reviewed both sets of NSA comments. With respect to DCID 1/7, I agree in principle with the changes recommended by NSA, and would suggest only one minor additional change. In the proposed footnote 6, Paragraph 6.e., I believe the sense of the footnote would be more clearly understood if the word "classified" were inserted between the words "nonintelligence" and "information." This change would, I believe, avoid possible confusion about what specific types of information might or might not be covered in the "nonintelligence" category. With that minor change, I would concur with the NSA revision of DCID 1/7 as proposed.

3. With respect to DCID 1/20, I do not agree fully with NSA's proposed revision. We concurred in the earlier version, feeling that a proper emphasis was then being placed on defensive travel briefings. The new version would require that all Unofficial travelers receive a defensive briefing. I believe that this requirement would place an unnecessary and difficult-to-manage burden on the larger intelligence agencies. I would much prefer, and do recommend, that defensive briefings be required of unofficial travelers only when they have had substantive access to Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) as defined in Paragraph 3.a., of the original version. Therefore, I suggest that NSA's proposed version of DCID 1/20 be referred to the DCI Security Committee for comment by its full membership.